Approved For Release 2008/03/03: CIA-RDP85B01152R000500590068-7

John,

One your some

P: po doesn't

have a requirement

in this area that

in this is valid?

STAT

oved For Release 2008/03/0	03 : CIA-RDP85B01152R000 <mark>500590068-7</mark>
TRANSMITTAL SLIP	DATE MOUSE
TO:DAA	11/2/20
ROOM NO. BUILDING	
REMARKS:	
FROM: Oldam	***

FORM NO. 26 - REPLACES 456 25 0 CIA-RDP85B01152R000500590068-7

ROOM NO.

STAT

Approved For Release 2008/03/03: CIA-RDP85B01152R000500590068-7 Date ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post) Initials Date Action File Note and Return Approval For Clearance Per Conversation As Requested For Correction Prepare Reply Circulate For Your Information See Me Comment Investigate Signature Coordination Justify REMARKS Note 1 Jewe deadline. 1-2 Os for as of ann concurred we how nothing to contribute - the only DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, clearances, and similar actions FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post) Phone No. 5041-102 OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76) Prescribed by GBA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

STAT

GPO : 1981 0 - 341-529 (120)

ar Sagara	ROUTING	AND RE	CORI	O SHEET	
SUBJECT: (Optional)	Taylor S				
Intelligence Produc	tion Enhance	ment Progra	am fo	or FY 1985	
FROM:		EXTE	NSION	NO. DDA 83-1188/1 STA	Т
EO/DDA 7D-18 Hqs.				DATE 11 May 1983 STA	ıΤ
TO: (Officer designation, room number, building)	-	OFF	CER'S FIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	•
1. C/P&PD/OL 154 P&P Bldg-				Bill:	
2.				The DDA asked me to ensure that you are queried regarding the possibility of submitting	••
3.				an item under the attached program. Please give me a call after you have read the guidelines.	
4. 1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (STA	ıΤ
5.				Att DDA 83-1188	
6.			1.4		ST
7.			1 k	EO/DDA/	•
8. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1 - DDA Subj w/o att 1 - DDA Chrono w/o att 1 - EO Chrono w/o att	
9.					
10.					
11.				DDM REGISTRY	
12.				DDIA REGISTRY. FILE: 30-7	
13.		·			
14.					
15.					

ORM 510 USE PREVIOUS

25X1

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Administration Deputy Director for Intelligence Deputy Director for Operations Deputy Director for Science and Technology National Intelligence Council FROM: Daniel A. Childs, Jr. Comptroller SUBJECT: Intelligence Production Enhancement Program for FY 1985 1. Since the Intelligence Production Enhancement Program began in CY 1979, the DCI has budgeted about for intitatives designed to develop new and innovative ways to improve the quality of intelligence analysis and its presentation. In FY 1985, approximately available to fund selected new production enhancement initiatives should be limited to two years. Funding for individual initiatives should be limited to two years. Funding for individual initiatives should be included as an integral part of production programs. Any manpower associated with proposed initiatives would be absorbed within existing manpower levels. The Intelligence Production Council, with the advice of the ICS, again will review production enhancement initiatives on the basis of relevance and potential payoff and will make recommendations to the DCI for final selection. 3. An Intelligence Community Staff review of production enhancement proposals over the past several years indicates that successful candidates were those in which the initiative related directly to enhancing production; showed promise of a high payoff in improved analysis, and was: o a methodology for, or a proposed solution to, an intelligence production problem; o speculative and innovative; o neither planned, nor in process in another NFIP component; and, o fully and clearly articulated as to purpose and polected utility, and benefit to the Intelligence Community.			
Comptroller SUBJECT: Intelligence Production Enhancement Program for FY 1985 1. Since the Intelligence Production Enhancement Program began in CY 1979, the DCI has budgeted about for initiatives designed to develop new and innovative ways to improve the quality of intelligence analysis and its presentation. In FY 1985, approximately will be available to fund selected new production enhancement initiatives should be limited to two years. Funding for successful projects thereafter should be included as an integral part of production programs. Any manpower associated with proposed initiatives would be absorbed within existing manpower levels. The Intelligence Production Council, with the advice of the ICS, again will review production enhancement initiatives on the basis of relevance and potential payoff and will make recommendations to the DCI for final selection. 3. An Intelligence Community Staff review of production enhancement proposals over the past several years indicates that successful candidates were those in which the initiative related directly to enhancing production; showed promise of a high payoff in improved analysis, and was: o a methodology for, or a proposed solution to, an intelligence production problem; o speculative and innovative; o neither planned, nor in process in another NFIP component; and, o fully and clearly articulated as to purpose projected utility.	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Deputy Director for Intelligence Deputy Director for Operations Deputy Director for Science and Technology	гу
1. Since the Intelligence Production Enhancement Program began in CY 1979, the DCI has budgeted about for initiatives designed to develop new and innovative ways to improve the quality of intelligence analysis and its presentation. In FY 1985, approximately will be available to fund selected new production enhancement initiatives. 2. As in prior years, funding for individual initiatives should be limited to two years. Funding for successful projects thereafter should be included as an integral part of production programs. Any manpower associated with proposed initiatives would be absorbed within existing manpower levels. The Intelligence Production Council, with the advice of the ICS, again will review production enhancement initiatives on the basis of relevance and potential payoff and will make recommendations to the DCI for final selection. 3. An Intelligence Community Staff review of production enhancement proposals over the past several years indicates that successful candidates were those in which the initiative related directly to enhancing production; showed promise of a high payoff in improved analysis, and was: o a methodology for, or a proposed solution to, an intelligence production problem; o speculative and innovative; o neither planned, nor in process in another NFIP component; and, o fully and clearly articulated as to purpose_nrejected utility.	FROM:		
cY 1979, the DCI has budgeted about for initiatives designed to develop new and innovative ways to improve the quality of intelligence analysis and its presentation. In FY 1985, approximately will be available to fund selected new production enhancement initiatives. 2. As in prior years, funding for individual initiatives should be limited to two years. Funding for successful projects thereafter should be included as an integral part of production programs. Any manpower associated with proposed initiatives would be absorbed within existing manpower levels. The Intelligence Production Council, with the advice of the ICS, again will review production enhancement initiatives on the basis of relevance and potential payoff and will make recommendations to the DCI for final selection. 3. An Intelligence Community Staff review of production enhancement proposals over the past several years indicates that successful candidates were those in which the initiative related directly to enhancing production; showed promise of a high payoff in improved analysis, and was: o a methodology for, or a proposed solution to, an intelligence production problem; o speculative and innovative; o neither planned, nor in process in another NFIP component; and, o fully and clearly articulated as to purpose, projected utility.	SUBJECT:	Intelligence Production Enhancement Program for FY 1985	25X1
cY 1979, the DCI has budgeted about for initiatives designed to develop new and innovative ways to improve the quality of intelligence analysis and its presentation. In FY 1985, approximately will be available to fund selected new production enhancement initiatives. 2. As in prior years, funding for individual initiatives should be limited to two years. Funding for successful projects thereafter should be included as an integral part of production programs. Any manpower associated with proposed initiatives would be absorbed within existing manpower levels. The Intelligence Production Council, with the advice of the ICS, again will review production enhancement initiatives on the basis of relevance and potential payoff and will make recommendations to the DCI for final selection. 3. An Intelligence Community Staff review of production enhancement proposals over the past several years indicates that successful candidates were those in which the initiative related directly to enhancing production; showed promise of a high payoff in improved analysis, and was: o a methodology for, or a proposed solution to, an intelligence production problem; o speculative and innovative; o neither planned, nor in process in another NFIP component; and, o fully and clearly articulated as to purpose, projected utility.	1 91	he Tetallianus Decision Decision	
analysis and its presentation. In FY 1985, approximately 25X1 available to fund selected new production enhancement initiatives. 25X1 2. As in prior years, funding for individual initiatives should be limited to two years. Funding for successful projects thereafter should be included as an integral part of production programs. Any manpower associated with proposed initiatives would be absorbed within existing manpower levels. The Intelligence Production Council, with the advice of the ICS, again will review production enhancement initiatives on the basis of relevance and potential payoff and will make recommendations to the DCI for final selection. 25X1 3. An Intelligence Community Staff review of production enhancement proposals over the past several years indicates that successful candidates were those in which the initiative related directly to enhancing production; showed promise of a high payoff in improved analysis, and was: o a methodology for, or a proposed solution to, an intelligence production problem; o speculative and innovative; o neither planned, nor in process in another NFIP component; and, o fully and clearly articulated as to purpose projected utility.	CY 1979, the DCI	has budgeted about for initiatives designed to	25X1
limited to two years. Funding for successful projects thereafter should be included as an integral part of production programs. Any manpower associated with proposed initiatives would be absorbed within existing manpower levels. The Intelligence Production Council, with the advice of the ICS, again will review production enhancement initiatives on the basis of relevance and potential payoff and will make recommendations to the DCI for final selection. 3. An Intelligence Community Staff review of production enhancement proposals over the past several years indicates that successful candidates were those in which the initiative related directly to enhancing production; showed promise of a high payoff in improved analysis, and was: o a methodology for, or a proposed solution to, an intelligence production problem; o speculative and innovative; o neither planned, nor in process in another NFIP component; and, o fully and clearly articulated as to purpose projected utility.	analysis and its	presentation. In FY 1985, approximately will be	
proposals over the past several years indicates that successful candidates were those in which the initiative related directly to enhancing production; showed promise of a high payoff in improved analysis, and was: o a methodology for, or a proposed solution to, an intelligence production problem; o speculative and innovative; o neither planned, nor in process in another NFIP component; and, o fully and clearly articulated as to purpose, projected utility.	limited to two y included as an i with proposed in The Intelligence review production potential payoff	ears. Funding for successful projects thereafter should be ntegral part of production programs. Any manpower associated itiatives would be absorbed within existing manpower levels. Production Council, with the advice of the ICS, again will n enhancement initiatives on the basis of relevance and	25X1
production problem; o speculative and innovative; o neither planned, nor in process in another NFIP component; and, o fully and clearly articulated as to purpose, projected utility.	proposals over t were those in wh	he past several years indicates that successful candidates ich the initiative related directly to enhancing production:	
o neither planned, nor in process in another NFIP component; and, o fully and clearly articulated as to purpose, projected utility.	o a me prod	thodology for, or a proposed solution to, an intelligence uction problem;	
o fully and clearly articulated as to purpose, projected utility.	o spec	ulative and innovative;	
o fully and clearly articulated as to purpose nrojected utility, and benefit to the Intelligence Community.	o neit	her planned, nor in process in another NFIP component; and,	
	o full and	y and clearly articulated as to purpose projected utility, benefit to the Intelligence Community.	2

4. Production enhancement proposals for 1985 should be presented to the
Comptroller in the same format as other new initiatives and should be provided
no later than 1 August 1983, when the Directorates' Budget Update Submissions
are due to the Comptroller. An early statement of directorate plans and
tentative proposals for production enhancement initiatives should be provided
to the Comptroller by 1 June, so that they can be discussed in the FY 1985
Budget Forecast due to the ICS by 15 June.

25X1

25X1